EPA-2291

ValentineToReid RosnickAnoma/DC/USEPA/USccvta.economist

11/03/2011 05:50 PM

Subject RE: FW: CAP 88

Reid,

I have attached a summary of what I understand needs to be done for an effective Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) analysis. My input is based on required RFA procedures as well as basic operations for for firms, industries and multi-national corporations. Please let me know if you have any questions.

bcc

Valentine (Val) T. Anoma, Economist Office of Air and Radiation, ORIA/RPD U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Email: Anoma.valentine@epa.gov

Tel: (202) 343-9369 Fax: (202) 343-2302



Vals_RFA_comments.docx

Reid Rosnick Harry, I'll defer to Val on this, but if we could get... 11/03/2011 10:42:31 AM

From: Reid Rosnick/DC/USEPA/US

To: "Harry Pettengill" <pettengillh@verizon.net>

Cc: "Steve Marschke" <smarschke@scainc.com>, Valentine Anoma/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Tom

Peake/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 11/03/2011 10:42 AM Subject: RE: FW: CAP 88

Harry,

I'll defer to Val on this, but if we could get a paragraph or two under a section called "Small Business Analysis" that confirms your analysis below, that would help me greatly in defending what I say in the section in the preamble on the Regulatory Flexibility Act. Val, what do you think?

Reid

Reid J. Rosnick Radiation Protection Division (6608J) U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20460 202.343.9563 rosnick.reid@epa.gov

"Harry Pettengill" Reid, Steve is double checking item 2 in the report. 10/27/2011 11:27:15 AM

From: "Harry Pettengill" <pettengillh@verizon.net>
To: Reid Rosnick/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Cc: "Steve Marschke" <smarschke@scainc.com>, Valentine Anoma/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 10/27/2011 11:27 AM Subject: RE: FW: CAP 88

Reid,

Steve is double checking item 2 in the report.

On item 3, no we don't have any such breakout on the primary owners. Keep in mind these activities change hands with relative frequency on the world uranium market. The day of small operations pretty much vanished in the 1980's. Today most are wholly owned subsidiaries or wholly owned LLC's. Examples are Canadians such as Rio Algom and Rio Tinto, Cogema (French), Uranium One (Russian), etc. If we're talking about ownership I'd say they are all well above 500 employees in the corporation. Probably the smallest ones would be Energy Fuels Nuclear and Cotter Corp. but I'm not sure if they aren't owned by someone else and they are probably well above 500 employees total.

Harry

From: Rosnick.Reid@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Rosnick.Reid@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2011 10:51 AM

To: Harry Pettengill Subject: Re: FW: CAP 88

Harry,

I got your voice mail.

1) The hours/money has been approved through our office and is in the contracting system. It should be finalized within a week.

(b)(5) - Deliberative Process Privilege

3) Regarding the EIA, do we have any kind of breakdown on what kinds of companies we are dealing with, in terms of small businesses? Are these all major corporation, greater than 500 employees?

I think that's all for me. Thanks. You didn't sound well on the phone, hope you feel better soon.

Reid

Reid J. Rosnick Radiation Protection Division (6608J) U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20460 202.343.9563 rosnick.reid@epa.gov